Scott. II-27—40,004—6-11-28.

Law & Education (1929) Department.

Mis SERIES.

G.O. No. 1013

DATED 10-5-29

[Despatch Abstract.]

Edn. 1113 A 5.3.29.

Ref. C. R. No. 33/29
Ref. Dis. xx

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT TALUK BOARD,
HARPANAHALLI.
Dated 20th February 192 9-

5 MAR 1929

From,

M. R. Ry. S A N T H A PP A Garu,

President, Taluk Board, Harpanahalli.

To,

THE Secretary to Government,
Law (Education) Department,
MADRAS.

Sir,
Through,

The President, District Board,
BELLARY.

Sir,

(T) With reference to surcharge certificate No: T.C.6922/29 dated 9-2-29 for Rs.17-6-0 issued by the Examiner of Local Fund Accounts, I have the honour to submit as follows:-

The following two items have been surcharged on the ground that the acquittances of the parties were not produced during the annual audit of the accounts of this office for 1926-27.

(1) House rent of Kadlahal school for March 1927. Rs. 3-0-0

(2) Pay of Mallari Row, Assistant teacher Board boys school Arasikeri, for March 1927. Rs.14-0-0

Rs.17-0-0

Item No:(1)

The head master of the Board school Kadlahal reports that the house rent of Rs.3/- was remitted by money order to the house-owner who resides elsewhere and the money order acknowledgment is missing. However a hand receipt of the house owner was obtained on 17-10-28 and filed in this office.

Item No:(2).

The money order acknowledgment of the party for Rs.14-0-0 was filed in the office copy of the acquittance roll of March in the Board school, Arasikeri. This has subsequently been obtained from the head master and recorded in this office.

2

The acquittances will be produced during the next audit of the accounts of this office by the District Inspector. The recovery may kindly be waived.

I have the honour to be, &

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. Sauthoffen 2-3-29

PRESIDENT.

M.R/20-2-29

Copy submitted direct to save delay.

Copy to the District Collector Bellary

Copy to the Examiner of Local Fund accounts, Madras.

Copy to the Assistant Examiner, Guntur,

Copy to the District Inspector of L.F. Accounts, Bellary.

R.C.R. 307/29 2.c 3 Edn. 1236 11.3.29

Ref. C. R. No. 83
Ref. Dis.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT TALUK BOARD,
HARPANAHALLI.
Dated 20th February 1929

DISTRICT 5-MAR 1929 5/3 M.R.Dy

ASSISTANT SECRETARY 10.MAR 1929 Guard

FROM,

Y. SANTHAPPA Garu,
President, Taluk Board, Harpanahalli.

To,

THE Secretary to Government,
Law (Education) Department,
MADRAS.

SIR,

Through,

The President, District Board,
BELLARY.

Sir,

(T) With reference to surcharge certificate No:T.F.3612 of 29 dated 8-2-29 for Rs.17-0-0 issued by the Examiner of Local Fund accounts, I have the honour to submit as follows:-

The following two items have been surcharged on the ground that the acquittances of the parties were not produced during the annual audit of the accounts of the office for 1928-29.

(1) House rent of Kadlebal school for March 27 Rs. 3-0-0

(2) Pay of Mallari Row, assistant teacher Board Boys school, Arasikeri, for March 27. Rs.14-0-0

Rs.17-0-0

Item No:(1)

The head master of Board school Kadlebal reports that the houserent of Rs.3/- was remitted by money order to the house owner who resides elsewhere and the money order acknowledgment is missing. However a hand receipt of the houseowner was obtained on 17-10-28 and filed in this office.

Item No:(2)

4

acquittance roll maintained in the Board school, Arasikeri. This has subsequently been obtained from the head master and recorded in this office,

The acquittances will be produced during the next audit of the accounts of this office by the District Inspector. The recovery may kindly be waived.

I have the honour to be

sir,

Your most obedient servant.

K. Sacchappa
President. 2-3-29

M.R/20-2-29

Copy submitted direct to save delay.

Copy to the District Collector, Bellary

Copy to the Examiner of Local fund accounts, Madras.

Copy to the Asst. Examiner, Guntur.

Copy to the District Inspector of L.F. Accounts, Bellary.

N.Dis CR 307/29 dated 5.3.29

~~Forwarded~~

2. In the circumstances reported by the President the Surcharge may be Kindly Waived

3 I have asked the president to ~~forward~~ both the acknowledgments to the District Inspector of L.F. accounts Bellary for inspection and return

5/3/29

President Taluk Board

Law (Edn) Dept.

Surcharge - Appeal - E.E. Fund -
Dalukbeard Harpanahalli - Tu. Rly
K. Santhappa Earn.
C Nos 1113 A and 1286 A.

Please see the Surcharge Certificate
issued in Examiner's No 8922/29 dt 9.2.29.
The Certificate is dated 5.2.29 and
a copy of it was received in this
department on 9.2.29. According
to rule VI of the rules relating to
Surcharge, an appeal to Govern-
ment against an order of Surcharge
has to be preferred within 14 days
after the receipt of the order. In
the present case, a copy of the
appeal submitted direct to Govern-
ment has been received on 5.3.29.
If the delay on the
part of the Appellant Can be
Condoned, the file may be sent up
to the Examr L.F.A. for remarks.
We may call for the case Spl. L.F.A. No.

(T)

vide fo 3 of Go no
1906 L&M dt 28/8/23
as amended
(I)
by Gos no 4254, Mn,
dated 22-10-28.

RECEIVED
21 MAR 1929
1397
LOCAL FUND ACCOUNTS

18/3/29

18/3

ys

5 Jun
19-3

Edn. U.O. 1113 A-29-1
20.3.29

Examr L F A U.O.

Examiner's Office.

The Surcharge certificate was served on the appellant on 15th February 1929. The appeal received on the 15th 5-12 March 1929 is time barred and liable to rejection under Rules VI and VII of the Surcharge Rules issued in G.O.No.1900, L & M.,' dated 28th August 1923 as amended by G.O.No.4254, L & M., dated 22nd October 1928. Please see also paragraph 5 of G.O.No.4409, L & M., dated 1st November 1928. (I) (F) (Y)

2/ Neither a reply to the warning notice nor the acknowledgment stated to have been obtained is reported to have been received by the District Inspector of Local Fund Accounts, Bellary.

18/4/29

Law (Edn) Deptt.U.O.

Examiner w/o. 1897—1
18.4.29.

Law (Edn) Dept. 18th 19.4.29.

The Examiner L.F.A. has ~~stated~~ remarked that the appeal is liable to be rejected as time-barred. ~~Certificate~~ appeal above The Surcharge relates to two items, the amounts involved being Rs 3 in one case and Rs 14-8-0 in the other. The appellant has stated that the money order acknowledgment in regard to

to the sum of Rs 3/ was lost and that
A'at/p1 cf. a hand receipt has been obtained
on 17.10.28 from the party. In the
other case the money order acknow-
B'at/p1 cf ledgment stated to have been filed
in the Acquittance roll of the School
has since been obtained and kept
with the records of the Taluk board
(Y) office. Para 5 of GO No 4409 L & M
dt 1.11.28 says that the production
of vouchers for expenditure incurred
subsequent to the issue of the Sur-
charge certificate is very unlikely to
be recognized by Government in
dealing with any appeal preferred
against the Surcharge. In the
present case it is not understood
Item 2 of the Examrs note at/p2 anti why the acknowledgments have not
been forwarded to the D.I. of L.F.A
Item 3 of the memo dt/p4 cf as suggested by the P.W.D. Bellary
In the circumstances it is for consi-
deration whether the appeal may
be rejected as time-barred

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
3 MAY 1929
LAW DEPARTMENT

27/4

27/4

May be rejected as

1

The appeal may be rejected

M 1

1/V

4.

III 3A

With reference to the orders overleaf; a draft is submitted for approval

CR 4/5

JM 6/5

28/5

28/5

ASSISTANT SECY TO GOVT
8 MAY 1929
MAIN DEPT.

Secrt. II-5 (a)—48,004—6-11-28. (6)

55/10.5

Law (Edn) DEPARTMENT.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied ..		
When examined ..		
When signed ..		
When despatched ..		

Surcharge - E.E. Fund.
Taluk Board Harpanahalli
Re. R. Ry. K. Sankhappa
Gam - Appeal rejected

READ AGAIN G.O. No. ....., dated 192

" " " " ..... " 192

READ ALSO:—

Re. R.Ry K. Sankhappa Gam CR 83/29

C. No. 1113A From ~~the~~ ....., No. ....., dated 20-2-1929

" " ..... " " ..... " 192

" " ..... " " ..... " 192

ORDER.

No. 1013, dated 10-5-1929.

Quis

(T)

The appeal of Re. R.Ry K. Sankhappa Gam, P.T.B. Harpanahalli, against the ~~order~~ certificate Surcharge of the Examiner L.F.A, ~~in~~ No 8922/29 d 9.2.29, ~~against disallowance of Rs 17-8-0~~ is rejected, as it reached the Government only on the 5th March 1929, after the lapse of the period of 14 Days from the date of receipt of the Surcharge Certificate

prescribed in Rule VI of
the Surcharge rules
appended to Go No 1900
L & M d 28.8.23, as
amended by Go No
4254 L & M d 22.10.28.

(I)

(F)

To The R Ry
K. Santhappa Esqrs
P.T.B. Harpanahalli
The P.T.B. Harpanahalli
The P.W.B. Bellary
Collector &
Exam L.F.A
L.S.G. Deptt

Dpd. by	Peon
Dpt's. initials	
Date	